Title : will be set by the publisher
Editors : will be set by the publisher
EAS Publications Series, Vol. ?, 2015

arXiv:1511.02864v1 [astro-ph.GA] 9 Nov 2015

HI-TO-H_2 TRANSITIONS IN THE PERSEUS MOLECULAR CLOUD

Shmuel Bialy[1], Amiel Sternberg[1], Min-Young Lee[2], Franck Le Petit[3] and Evelyne Roueff[3]

Abstract. We apply the Sternberg et al. (2014, hereafter S14) theoretical model to analyze HI and H_2 observations in the Perseus molecular cloud. We constrain the physical properties of the HI shielding envelopes and the nature of the HI-to-H_2 transitions. Our analysis (Bialy et al., 2015) implies that in addition to cold neutral gas (CNM), less dense thermally-unstable gas (UNM) significantly contributes to the shielding of the H_2 cores in Perseus.

1 Introduction

Stars form in shielded molecular cores of giant molecular clouds. In Kennicutt-Schmidt relations, the star-formation rates correlate with molecular surface densities (e.g., Leroy et al., 2008; Genzel et al., 2013). The conversion of atomic to molecular gas is also crucial for formation of other important molecular tracers and coolants such as CO, OH, and H_2O (e.g., Bialy and Sternberg, 2015). Understanding the HI-to-H_2 transition is important for star-formation and galaxy evolution theories, and for interpreting observations of the ISM.

H_2 molecules are photodissociated by far-ultraviolet (FUV) radiation within the Lyman-Werner (LW) band (11.2 - 13.6 eV). This occurs via a two-step process, in which a LW photon excites an electronic state, which in $\sim 12\%$ of the cases decays to rovibrational continuum that leads to dissociation of the H_2 molecule. With increasing column density, the FUV radiation is absorbed, and the H_2 dissociation rate decreases. Once the column density is large enough so that the local H_2 dissociation rate becomes equal to the H_2 formation rate, an HI-to-H_2 transition occurs.

[1] Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics & Astronomy, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv 69978, Israel; e-mail: shmuelbi@mail.tau.ac.il

[2] Laboratoire AIM, CEA/IRFU/Service d'Astrophyque, Bat 709, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

[3] LERMA, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, UMR8112, F-92190 Meudon, France

© EDP Sciences 2015
DOI: (will be inserted later)

What are the properties of HI-to-H_2 transitions in molecular clouds, and what are the properties of the (predominantly) HI shielding columns?

2 Observations

The Perseus cloud is located at a distance of $\sim$ 300 pc, with an angular extent of $6^0 \times 3^0$, and a total mass of $\sim 10^4$ $M_\odot$(Bally et al., 2008). Perseus consists of several dark and star-forming regions, which form low and intermediate mass stars (later than B1). Thus the FUV radiation in Perseus is probably dominated by external sources (Lee et al., 2012, hereafter L12).

L12 used 21 cm observations of the GALFA survey (Peek et al., 2011), together with IRIS infrared data (Miville-Deschênes and Lagache, 2005) and the A_V image from the COMPLETE Survey (Ridge et al., 2006), to derive HI and H_2 surface densities ($\Sigma_{\rm HI}$ and $\Sigma_{\rm H_2}$) towards B1, B1E, B5, IC348, and NGC1333, with a resolution of 0.4 pc. We use the data presented by Lee et al. (2015), for which the HI columns were corrected for (up to 20 %) 21 cm depth effects.

3 Theoretical Framework

We apply the S14 theoretical model which assumes semi-infinite gas slabs irradiated by external FUV. S14 derived an analytic formula for the total accumulated HI surface column density,

$$\Sigma_{\rm HI} \;=\; 6.71 \left(\frac{1.9}{\sigma_{g-21}}\right) \ln\left[\frac{\alpha G}{3.2} \;+1\right] \; {\rm M_\odot \; pc^{-2}}, \qquad (1)$$

Importantly, $\Sigma_{\rm HI}$ is independent of the total gas column $\Sigma_{\rm tot}$ (or the cloud size), and is determined solely by the cloud physical parameters αG and σ_{g-21}.

Here, σ_{g-21} is the dust cross section per hydrogen nucleus in units of 10^{-21} cm^2, and is typically ≈ 1.9. αG is the (dimensionless) ratio of the H_2 *shielded* dissociation rate to H_2 formation rate. Assuming H_2 formation on dust grains

$$\alpha G \;=\; 6 \left(\frac{I_{UV}}{n/10{\rm cm^{-3}}}\right)\left(\frac{w}{0.4}\right) , \qquad (2)$$

where $I_{\rm UV}$ is the FUV intensity in units of the Draine (1978) field, n is the volume density and w is the fraction of LW photons that are absorbed in H_2-dust (see S14). For multiphased gas, the CNM density and $I_{\rm UV}$ are proportional (Wolfire et al., 2003). In this case $(\alpha G)_{\rm CNM} \approx 3$. In our analysis however, we do not assume *a priori* CNM conditions (as e.g. Krumholz et al., 2009), but rather constrain αG directly using the observational data.

4 Results

In Fig. 1 (a) we test our theoretical prediction that $\Sigma_{\rm HI}$ is independent of $\Sigma_{\rm tot}$ by fitting $\mathcal{R} \equiv \Sigma_{\rm HI}/\Sigma_{\rm H_2}$, for each of the five regions. The theory and observations



Source	αG	n (cm^{-3})
B1	6.5 – 26.1	9.1 – 1.8
B1E	6.1 – 23.8	9.6 – 2.0
B5	5.0 – 17.7	11.8 – 2.6
IC345	6.0 – 23.2	9.8 – 2.0
NGC1333	9.5 – 47.0	6.2 – 1.0

Fig. 1: (a) $R \equiv \Sigma_{HI}/\Sigma_{H_2}$ as a function of Σ_{tot}, the black points are the observations and the red lines are fits to the model. The best fitted HI columns are indicated. (b) The Σ_{HI} observed columns as contours in the αG – σ_{g-21} parameter space. The grey strip is the $(\alpha G)_{CNM}$ typical range, and the two dashed horizontal lines are the typical $\sigma_{g-21} = 1.9$ and twice typical (3.8) values. The αG values for this range and the corresponding densities (assuming $I_{UV} = 1$) are indicated.

are in excellent agreement. In Fig. 1 (b) we plot the HI columns as contours in the αG – σ_{g-21} parameter space, using Equation (1). L12 obtained an elevated A_V/N_H ratio in Perseus, so σ_{g-21} probably lies within 1.9 to 3.8 (dashed lines). For this realistic range in σ_{g-21}, αG spans from ~ 5 to ~ 20, a factor of 2 - 7 larger than $(\alpha G)_{CNM}$ (grey strip). Therefore pure CNM shielding cannot explain the observed HI columns in Perseus.

We use Equation (2) to convert αG into volume densities n. Assuming $I_{UV} \approx 1$ (Tibbs et al., 2011, L12), we get $n \approx 2$ – 10 cm^{-3} for the HI shielding layers in Perseus. These values are in-between the CNM and WNM densities, $n_{CNM} \approx 100 n_{WNM} \approx 22$ cm^{-3} (Wolfire et al., 2003).

5 Summary and Discussion

We constrained the controlling parameter αG for the HI envelopes in Perseus. The αG and HI volume densities are in-between the CNM and WNM values, suggesting that the HI shielding layers are probably multiphased, where UNM (and perhaps some WNM) significantly contribute to the shielding of the H_2 cores. An alternative explanation is that the observations of Σ_{HI} are contaminated by large amounts of HI gas that does not participate in shielding. In this case Σ_{HI} is effectively smaller, reducing the inferred αG and increasing n. However, unrealistically

large amounts of the $\Sigma_{\rm HI}$ must be removed (50-90%) for all of the shielding gas to be CNM. Therefore pure CNM shielding cannot explain the observed HI columns in Perseus.

The situation in Perseus suggests that in addition to CNM, less dense UNM is important in controlling the HI-to-H_2 transitions and Schmidt-Kennicutt thresholds in external galaxies. Full details of this work are in Bialy et al. (2015).

References

Bally, J., Walawender, J., Johnstone, D., et al.: 2008, *Handb. Star Form. Reg.* 4

Bialy, S. and Sternberg, A.: 2015, *MNRAS* **450(4)**, 4424

Bialy, S., Sternberg, A., Lee, M.-Y., et al.: 2015, *ApJ* **809(2)**, 122

Draine, B. T.: 1978, *ApJS* **36**, 595

Genzel, R., Tacconi, L. J., Kurk, J., et al.: 2013, *ApJ* **773**, 68

Krumholz, M. R., McKee, C. F., and Tumlinson, J.: 2009, *ApJ* **693(1)**, 216

Lee, M.-Y., Stanimirović, S., Douglas, K. A., et al.: 2012, *ApJ* **748(2)**, 75

Lee, M.-Y., Stanimirovic, S., Murray, C. E., et al.: 2015, *ApJ* **809(1)**, 56

Leroy, A. K., Walter, F., Brinks, E., et al.: 2008, *AJ* **136**, 2782

Miville-Deschênes, M.-A. and Lagache, G.: 2005, *ApJS* **157(2)**, 302

Peek, J. E. G., Heiles, C., Douglas, K. A., et al.: 2011, *ApJS* **194(2)**, 20

Ridge, N. A., Di Francesco, J., Kirk, H., et al.: 2006, *AJ* **131(6)**, 2921

Sternberg, A., Petit, F. L., Roueff, E., and Bourlot, J. L.: 2014, *ApJS* **790**, 10S

Tibbs, C. T., Flagey, N., Paladini, R., et al.: 2011, *MNRAS* **418**, 1889

Wolfire, M. G., McKee, C. F., Hollenbach, D., and Tielens, A. G. G. M.: 2003, *ApJ* **587(1)**, 278